	VERSUS  POSTED  NO. 602141 SECTION 23  VERSUS		
	LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT DEC 11 2017 PARISH OF EAST BATON ROUGE STATE OF LOUISIANA		
	****************************		
	<u>VERDICT FORM</u>		
	1. Do you find that plaintiff, Randall Kling, has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that:		
	a. He was engaged in protected speech on a matter of public concern under the Louisiana Constitution, Article I. Section 7?		
	Yes No	9.	-2
	b. His termination was substantially motivated by his protected speech?		ر
	Yes No	11	-
	If your answer is "Yes" to all of the above, proceed to question 2. If your answer is "No" to any of the above, please sign and date the verdict form and return the form to the bailiff.  2. Please state what sum of money, if any, will reasonably and fairly compensate the plaintiff, Randall Kling, for the following items of damage:		
	Lost Wages \$ 243,045	10	1-2
	Mental Anguish and Distress \$\frac{15,000}{15,060}\$  Loss of Enjoyment of Life \$\frac{15,000}{15,060}\$	9.	-3
	Loss of Enjoyment of Life \$\frac{15,06,0}{TOTAL} \\$\frac{393,045}{}	9-	3
	(Please sign and date the verdict form and return the form to the bailiff.)		
	Baton Rouge, Louisiana, on this <b>2011</b> day of December, 2017.		
	CaG-Sh		
١	Forenerson		

FILED

DEC 04 2017

DEPUTY CLERK OF COUPT

19th JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT